Cahiers Ferdinand de Saussure
Revue de linguistique générale

CERCLE
FERDINAND
DE SAUSSURE

PEER REVIEW FORM

(I) General information

Article ID   ....
Article title   ....
Reviewer   ....
Date of review   ....

(II) Elements for evaluation[1]

Title, abstract, keywords

The title is clear and related to the content	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The abstract is clear and exhaustive	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Keywords are well chosen	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐

Remarks (optional):

Relevance, Originality, Quality

The topic is pertinent to the call (if applicable)	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The topic is pertinent to the mission of *Cahiers*	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The issue is clear and subject to scientific debate	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The author covers the literature on the subject	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The paper shows elements of originality	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐

Remarks (optional):

Argumentation and style

The paper has a claim, clearly exposed	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The paper is consistent	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The argumentative structure is coherent and does not make leaps	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Language and terminology are adequate and precise	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Style is appropriate for scientific writing	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The paper is easy to follow	A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐

---

[1] A = Excellent; B = Good; C = Acceptable; D = Needs improvement; E = Unacceptable.
D judgements should be detailed in the Remarks section.

Remarks (optional):

Other elements (if present)

Pictures are relevant A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
The format and quality of the pictures are suitable A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Tables and/or diagrams are clear A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Transcriptions are relevant A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Transcriptions are correct and rigorous (as far as I can tell) A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Bibliographical appendices are relevant A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Bibliographical appendices are exhaustive A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐

Remarks (optional):

Bibliography

Bibliography is relevant to the topic A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Bibliography is up to date A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Bibliography is exhaustive A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐
Online resources are easy to find A☐ B☐ C☐ D☐ E☐

Remarks (optional):

(III) Judgment and comments

Overall judgement
☐ Excellent　　　☐ Good　　　☐ Acceptable　　　☐ Insufficient　　　☐ Unacceptable

Publishing suggestion
☐　　Accept
☐　　Accept with minor revision
☐　　Accept with major revision (after further review)
☐　　Reject (reasons should be given in the Comments section below)

Comments for the author

Comments for the editor(s) (optional)